MODELING STREAM BANK EROSION: QUANTIFYING FINE SEDIMENT SOURCE CONTROL IN AN SEZ

Virginia Mahacek Valley & Mountain Consulting

Tahoe Basin SEZ Restoration Monitoring

Presentation

Overview of BSTEM and CONCEPTS

Local Application Examples

Enhancements of the Models

Use in Stream Restoration
 Evaluation & Management

BANK STABILITY AND TOE EROSION MODEL



New! BSTEM 5.3

http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research

Andrew Simon, Robert Thomas,



Andrea Curini and Natasha Bankhead

USDA OS National Sedimentation Laboratory

BANK STABILITY MODEL



- Op to 5 layers
- Three failure modes
- Pore-Water
 pressure (+ and -)
- Confining pressure
- Vegetation reinforcement and surcharge

Representation of vertical slices method

TOE EROSION MODEL



- Hydraulic Effects of boundary shear
- Straight or curved planform options
- Bank and Bank Toe resistance/erodibility
- Fixed bed
- Eroded material assumed removed

Representation of shear stress distribution

MODEL INPUT

Bank Stability Model

- Bank Geometry
 - Height
 - Slope
- Bank Materials
 - Layers
 - Composition
- Water Table
- Vegetation

Toe Erosion Model

- Water Stage Relation
 - Depth/height of flow
- Flow Duration
- Channel Geometry
 - Planform
 - Slope
- Bank Material
 - Layers
 - Erodibility

Select material types (or select "own data" and add values below)

Bank Material								I	Bank Toe M	laterial		
Layer 1		Layer 2		Layer 3		Layer 4		Layer 5				
Own data	•	Own data	◄	Own data	•	Own data	•	Own data	▼		Own data	•

Bank and bank-toe material data tables.

These are the default parameters used in the model. Changing the values or descriptions will change the values used when selecting soil types from the list boxes above. Add your own data using the white boxes.

M	aterial Descripto	rs	Bank Model Input Data						
Bank material type	Description	Mean grain size, D ₅₀ (m)	Friction angle ϕ' (degrees)	Cohesion c' (kPa)	Saturated unit weight (kN/m³)	ϕ^{b} (degrees)	Chemical concentration (kg/kg)		
1	Boulders	0.512	42.0	0.0	20.0	15.0	-		
2	Cobbles	0.128	42.0	0.0	20.0	15.0	-		
3	Gravel	0.0113	36.0	0.0	20.0	15.0	-		
4a and 4b	Angular sand	0.00035	36.0	0.0	18.0	15.0	-		
5a and 5b	Rounded sand	0.00035	27.0	0.0	18.0	15.0	-		
6a, 6b and 6c	Silt	-	30.0	3.0	18.0	15.0	-		
7a, 7b and 7c	Soft clay	-	25.0	10.0	18.0	15.0	-		
8a, 8b and 8c	Stiff clay	-	20.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	-		
	Own data	a layer 1							
	Own data layer 2								
9	Own data	a layer 3							
	Own data	a layer 4							
	Own data	a layer 5							
	Own data Bank Toe								
Need to know the critical shear stress (τ_c) ?				Need to knov	v the erodibility o	coefficient (k)?			

MODEL OUTPUT

- Bank Failure
 - Events/occurrences
 - Eroded volumes
 - Peak or drawdown conditions
 - Modified geometry
- Toe Erosion
 - Events/occurrences
 - Retreat distances
 - Eroded volumes
 - Modified geometry

Loads from volumes

CONSERVATIONAL CHANNEL EVOLUTION AND POLLUTANT TRANSPORT SYSTEM





December, 2000

CONCEPTS – Conservational Channel Evolution and Pollutant Transport System

Stream Corridor Version 1.0



Research Report No. 16 USDA-ARS National Sedimentation Laboratory P.O. Box 1157 Oxford, MS 38655 **CONCEPTS** simulates response of channels to loadings of water and sediment, and to in-stream structures

http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research

CONCEPTS INPUT

- Channel Network
- Channel Geometry
- Roughness Characteristics
- Bed and Bank Composition
- Flow and Sediment
 - Upstream boundary
 - Tributaries

Concepts	- History	
File Edit View Tools Concepts Editor Window Help		
😡 GC_analysis-0.95-0.95-0.95.c 🛛 😡 GC_analysis-0.95.concepts 🙁 🗖 🗖	□ Se □ Soil □ Se □ Cr ⊠ □	Re 🗋 St 📄 Tr 📄 La 🚍 Ri 📄 C 📄 R 📄 O 📑 Sc 🖓 🗖
Cross sections	Name: 5388.192 (EC)	
# 8077.944 (EC)	Bium stations 0.925	
€ 79/7.5/6 (EC)	River station: 0.625	
7885.523 (EC) 7764.194 (cumb coincid) (CC) 7764.19	Geometry	Indices of the toe and top of the bank
T 7/04.104 (synthesized) (EC)	ID Chatles Elevation A	Top of left hank: 70
₹ 7552.245 (EC)	ID Station Elevation	
₹ 7434.016 (EC)	1 0.0 1917.2773 =	Toe of left bank: 81
£ 7269.085 (EC)	2 1.2/1016 1917.192	Top of right bank: 97
# 7143.147 (EC)	3 1.581912 1917.1554	Toe of right hank: 88
	4 2.9138882 1916.8872	
	5 10.304/12 1910.88/2	Groundwater table
	0 19.255928 1910.7595	Left hank: 1011.0
🖸 6524.749 (EC)	7 21.720049 1910.0380 9 22.275112 1016 5924	
€ 6390.881 (EC)	0 25:373113 1910:3624	Right bank: 1911.0
£ 6210.181 (EC)	10 32 004 1016 3721	
+ 0089.143 (EC) + 5021 772 (EC)	11 34 603943 1916 2776	Bedrock elevation: 1908.25
	12 34 05751 1016 2776	
₹ 5752.552 (EC) ¥ 5570.905 (EC)	13 35.987736 1916.2319	
£ 5388.192 (EC)	14 38.60597 1916.1587	
	15 39.508175 1916.1252	
🖸 4994.876 (EC)	16 40.654224 1916.0978	
# 4843.094 (EC)	17 42.297096 1915.9728	Plot geometry
# 4699.568 (EC)	18 44.037502 1915.9728	Cross section geometry plot
# 4517.457 (EC)	19 44.738544 1915.8387	
	20 45 24756 1915 7716 *	5388.192 (EC)
4133.776 (EC)	Insert Add Remove	19185
₹ 3972.264 (EC)	(<u> </u>	10100
# 3738.267 (EC)	Boundary roughness	Boundar
🖸 3542.949 (EC)	Left floodplain: 0.048	1917.5 Bed sedia
🖸 3383.411 (EC)		1917.0
	Left bank: 0.038	Left ban 1916.5
	Streambed: 0.038	Right ba 22 1916.0
	Right bank: 0.038	L 19155
	Right floodplain: 0.048	5 1915.0
₹ 2491.416 (EC)	Night noodplann 0.040	210145
£ 2348.630 (EC)		
		L ¹⁹¹³⁵
		W 19130
🖸 1707.608 (EC)		19125
		1912.0
		1911.5
		1911.0
		1910.5
		0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1,000 1,100 1,200
		STATION, IN METERS

Feb 2010

📕 banktoe and top 🔷 geometry

Concepts			-	-			
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>C</u> oncepts Editor <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp							
😡 GC_analysis-0.95-0.95-0.95.concepts 🛛 😡 GC_analysis-0.95.concepts 🖾 👘 🗖	🗖 S 🛛 🗖 S	🗆 S 🗖 C 🗖	🗆 R 🗖 S 🗖 T	L R C	R 🗖 O 🗖 S 🦳 🗖		
Concepts Project	Name: Go	olf Course Reach De	efault				
CONCEPTS model input data	Particle density: 26	50.0					
⊿ n Materials	Porosity 0.3	15					
Sediments	- Peristance to crocis		- Posistance to failure				
Golf Course Reach Default	Critical chear stress		Cohoring 0.0				
Golf Course Reach Downstream	Chucal shear stress.	0.0	Conesion: 0.0				
Solis	Erodibility:	0.0	Friction angle: 0.0				
🛛 🖉 Soil profiles			Suction angle: 0.0				
Cross sections	Grain size distributi	on					
Keaches	Total clay:	0.0	Plot distribution				
Tributaries	Very fine silt:	0.0					
🔏 Lateral inflows	Fino cilti	0.0					
🕼 Riparian buffers	rine site	0.0					
 Channel models Existing Conditions - All sections 	Medium silt:	0.0					
-II- Existing Conditions - Coarse spacing	Coarse silt:	0.0					
🔺 🔑 Run data	Very coarse silt:	0.0					
Run control data sets	Very fine sand:	0.0					
Output options sets Output options (EC) - All	Fine sand:	0.0					
E Output options (EC) - Coarse spacing	Medium sand:	3.21					
> 💦 Scenarios	Coarse sand:	8.87	🔳 Grain si	e distribution plot		1 Million March 199	
	Von coarra candi	12.62			Golf Course Re	each Default	
	very coarse sand:	15.05	105		Gon Course Re	100	
	Very fine gravel:	17.89	100 -				27.5
	Fine gravel:	25.78	90 -				
	Medium gravel:	35.63	85 -			<mark>82.34</mark>	25.0
	Coarse gravel:	53.8	80 -			·····	22.5
	Very coarse gravel:	82.34	75 - 70 -				20.0
	Small cobbles:	100.0	<u>c</u> 65				
			E 60 -				17.5 R
							15.0 R
			C 30 45				125
			40 -			35.63	
			35 -				10.0
			25 -			25.78	7.5
			20 -				
Selected Object: Golf Course Reach Default			15 -			8.87	5.0
			10 - 5 -		3.2		2.5
$\frown \cdots - \cdot$			0	0 0 0 0			0.0
				0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.010	000 000 0.12 0.22 0.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	\mathbf{N} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{C})			PARTICLE DIAMETER	R, IN MILLIMETERS	

Eile Edit View Tools Concepts Editor Window Help	
😡 GC_analysis-0.95-0.95-0.95.concepts 🛛 🖓 GC_analysis-0.95.concepts 🖄 🖓 🗖 🗋 S 🗋 S 🖉 S 🖉 C 📄 R 🗋 S 🗋 T 🗋 L 🗋 R 🗋 C 🗋 R 🗋 O 🗋 S 🖓 🖓	
🔺 😰 Concepts Project GSD II Moderate Dry Meadow	
a 🔒 CONCEPTS model input data	
4 9 Physical data Bulk density: 10000	
Antenais Particle density: 2650.0	
Porosity: 0.5	
Solid Course Reach Downstream	
A Soils	
GSD II Low Resistance to erosion Resistance to failure	
GSD II Low Developed Critical shear stress: 1.5 Cohesion: 1200.0	
GSD II Low Dry Meadow Erodibility: 9.2E-7 Friction angle: 27.2	
GSD II Low Lodgepole Pine Forest Suction angle: 12.0	
CSD II Low Revegetated Dry Meadow	
Grain size distribution	
So D L ow West Grammoni Meadow Mixed with Willow Total clay: 2.72	
GSD II Low Wet Graminoid Meadow Mixed with Willow Very fine silt: 3.8	
GSD II Low Revetment	
GSD II Low Riprap	
GSD II Low Rootwad Medium silt: 8.13	
GSD II Moderate Coarse silt: 11.16	
GSD II Moderate Mountain Alder/Mixed Willow Riparian Scrub	
SD II Moderate Lodgeoole Pine Forest	
GSD II Moderate Willow Scrub Fine sand: 55.01 Grain size distribution plot	
SSD II Moderate Mesic Graminoid Meadow Mixed with Willow Medium sand: 73.1	
GSD II Moderate Wet Graminoid Mixed with Willow GSD II Moderate Dry Meadow	
GSD II Moderate Revenuent 97,36 98,66 100 1	23
GSD II tinb	22
GSD II High Developed 92.79	20
GSD II High Dry Meadow Fine gravel: 97.36	- 19
GSD II High Wet Graminoid Meadow Medium gravel: 98.66 75 73.1	18
GSD III Moderate	
GSD III Moderate Lodgepole Pine Forest Coalse graves 1000 gr 65	15
So III Moderate Wildow Schl/Marie Fach Community	14 12 T
GSD II US control GSD II US control	12 0
▶ 3 Sediment profiles	11 <u>z</u>
⊳ Kan Soil profiles	10
⊳ to Cross sections 32 24	
30	7
The second secon	
20 16.61	4
	- 3

5

SOILS

0

\$ \$

 $\land \land \triangleright \diamond \diamond \diamond$

PARTICLE DIAMETER, IN MILLIMETERS

RUN CONTROL OPTIONS

Gra Concepts				
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>C</u> oncepts Editor <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp				
GC_analysis-0.95-0.95 GC_analysis-0.95.con X C_analysis-0.95.con X C_analysis-0.95.con.	Se Soil Se Cro Re S Name: Run control data (EC) Processes simulated ✓ Hydraulics ✓ Sediment transport ✓ Sediment transport	Str □ Tri □ Lat □ Ch □ Ru ⊠ □ Out □ Sc □ □ Simulation period Start time: 2009-12-31 21:00:00 □ <t< td=""></t<>		
Golf Course Reach Downstream	 I oe erosion Bank stability Riparian processes In/outflow info Upstream inflow file: C:\CONCEPTS\data\CA\V.Mal Downstream boundary condition: Automatic Rating curve Water level 	Initial time step: 100		
 Output options sets Output options (EC) - All Output options (EC) - Coarse spacing Scenarios 	Sediment transport options Wash load size class: < 0.250 mm • % fines for cohesion: 100.0 Downstream grade control: 1.0 Upstream capacity weighting: 0.0 Upstream boundary condition Time series © Fraction of transport capacity AnnAGNPS Class Fraction 1 1 0.0 2 0.0 3 0.0 4 0.0 5 0.0 •	Streambank erosion options Processes included in bank stability analyses: Positive pore-water pressures Matric suction Confining pressures Groundwater table dynamics Number of shear emergences: 4 Tension crack depth: 0.0 Skipped time steps: 100 Block retention time:		

CONCEPTS OUTPUT

Parameters

- Channel Geometry
- Hydraulic Variables
- Sediment Yield

Formats

- For a location (XS) at a specific time step
- For a location (XS) over time period
- For an event (time step or period) for a reach (XSs)

🚰 Concepts		
<u>File Edit View Tools Concepts Editor Window Hel</u>	p	
GC_analysis-0.95 ☆ 🍡 🗖	□ S □ S □ S □ C □ R □ S □ T □ L □ R □ C □ R □ O ⊠ □ S	
Concepts Project CONCEPTS model input data	Name: Output options (EC) - Coarse spacing	
A 🖓 Physical data	Upstream Inflow file: C:\CONCEPTS\data\CA\V.Mahacek\Simulations\HEC-Swanson\bankfull.txt	Browse
Materials	Event output Time series output Profile output	
Reaches	Event ID: 8078 - Remove Time series ID: 8078 - Remove Profile ID: e - Rem	nove
Structures	Cross section: 8077.944 (EC) 👻 Cross section: 8077.944 (EC) 👻 First cross section: 8077.944 (EC)	.C
Lateral inflows	Dates: Start time: 2009-12-31 10:00:(Last cross section: 425.818 (EC	.) –
Riparian buffers	End time: 2020-01-03 21:55:(Dates:	
= Existing Conditions - All sections	Outputted parameters:	
- Existing Conditions - Coarse spacing	Hydraulic parameters	
Run control data sets	Discharge Flow velocity	
Output options sets Output options (EC) - All	▼ Flow depth	
📰 Output options (EC) - An	I Stage ■ Flow area	
> 💦 Scenarios	Flow top width	
	Wetted perimeter Hydraulic radius	
	Flow conveyance	
	Outputted parameters: Friction slope Energy head	
	Proude number Peak discharge Froude number	
	Peak flow depth Sediment parameters Peak discharge	Â
	Peak stage Peak stage Peak friction slope Sediment discharge Sediment discharge Sediment discharge	
	Sediment parameters Sediment yield	=
	Cumulative sediment Image Image	cte
	Surface layer characte The erosion Top width	ers
	Surface layer grain-siz	-
		Þ.